



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,180	10/30/2001	Heon-Deok Park	9898-197	4103
20575	7590	07/28/2005	EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204			KERVEROS, JAMES C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2133	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/003,180

Applicant(s)

PARK ET AL.

Examiner

JAMES C. KERVEROS

Art Unit

2133

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 June 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 16-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/6/2005 has been entered.

Claims 1- 20 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 and 16-20, drawn to a semiconductor device test system and method using input signal pattern, classified in class 714, subclass 719.
- II. Claims 12-15, drawn to pattern memory for use in a semiconductor device test system, classified in class 714, subclass 738.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because testing a semiconductor device

can be accomplished with the implementation of a conventional Pattern Generator using a conventional semiconductor device test system. The subcombination has separate utility such as the pattern memory can be implemented in a Built-In-Self-Test (BIST) system for semiconductor memory testing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with BRIAN WICHNER on July 19, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of GROUP I, claims 1-11 and 16-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 12-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

A telephone call was made to Brian Wichner on July 15, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2133

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds the required of the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claims 2-7, 9-11, 13-15 and 17-20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 2-7, the expression "A test system" should be changed to --The semiconductor device test system--.

Claims 9-11, the expression "A method" should be changed to --The method--.

Claims 13-15, the expression 'A pattern memory" should be changed to --The pattern memory--.

Claims 17-20, the expression "A method" should be changed to --The method--.

Appropriate correction is required to comply with proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wrinn (U.S. Patent NO. 4,746,855).

Regarding independent Claim 1, Wrinn discloses a semiconductor device test system (Figure 1), comprising:

A plurality of comparator and driver units (twelve channel driver/detectors 16 for channels A to L) each comparator and driver unit (16) comprising a driver (26) configured to drive an input signal pattern from the test controller 22 by bus 24 to be applied to two or more input pins of semiconductor devices 40 on PCB under test 13 by providing inputs to test pins 44, and sensing the resulting conditions at the nodes common to leads to the device 40 being tested. In testing individual devices 40, selected channels A-L are connected to selected test pins 44 by activating the appropriate relays 36 by relay controller 20, operating under relay control signals provided by controller 22 over bus 24. Test controller 22 also provides test control signals over bus 24 to operate channel drivers 26 to provide test signal inputs and detectors 28 to detect the test outputs while switch 29 is closed and switch 30 is open.

The test outputs are provided to test controller 22, and compared with expected test outputs, (see, Figures 1, 2, 3 and 5, and operation Col. 4, lines 14-35).

It is noted with respect to claimed limitation of “a driver configured to drive an input signal pattern to be applied to two or more input pins of the semiconductor device”, according to Wrinn, driver (26) of channels (A to L) drives a group of pin nodes 12 connected to semiconductor device 40 from the channel nodes 14, via relays 36 in multiplexer 10 controlled by relay controller 20, Figures 1, 2, 3 and 5, as described: “ In group 32 or group 34, of relay multiplexer 10, it is possible to make a connection between any pin node or any channel node of the group with any other pin node or channel node of the group, through multiple or single closed relays. The combinations of channel nodes 14 are assigned to pin nodes 12 in a fully combinatorial manner; i.e., every possible unique combination of two of the twelve channels A to L. Each channel (A-L) is connectable to eleven test pin nodes (Figures 3, 5 and col. 2, lines 55-60). For example, channel A is connected to pins 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 16, 22, 29, 37, 46 and 56 of group 32 through relays 36. Similarly, other connection combination of eleven pins applies to the rest of the channels (B-L). Therefore, each driver (26) of channels (A to L) is capable of applying test signals to a group of pin nodes 12 (eleven test pin nodes), thus meeting the claimed requirements.

A plurality of control units (each comprising a group of eleven relays 36 corresponding to each channel) of the relay multiplexer 10 in group 32, and each configured to electrically connect a corresponding comparator and driver unit (16) to test pins 44 through pin nodes 12 of the semiconductor device 40 in response to a

control signal from relay controller 20. The pins 44 of the semiconductor device 40 are divided into pin groups corresponding to channels (A-L), each pin group (channel) having $K=11$ number of pins (eleven test pin nodes per channel in group 32, Figure 5), where $K=11$ is an integer greater than 1.

A pattern memory in the test controller 22 for storing the input signal patterns and the output signal patterns.

Regarding Claims 2, 3, Wrinn discloses control unit comprising a group of eleven relays 36 corresponding to each channel of the relay multiplexer 10 in group 32, having K number of inputs, where $K=11$, wherein each control unit is configured to receive the control signal via a data bus 19 from relay controller 20.

Regarding Claim 4, Wrinn discloses wherein the test pins 44 of each pin group of the semiconductor device 40 corresponding to output pins, wherein the test controller 22 comprises memory including an input pattern memory for storing input signal patterns to provide test signal inputs to device 40 and an output pattern memory for storing output signal patterns (expected test outputs) and wherein the output pattern memory stores output signal patterns from an external device (external tester) coupled to the test controller 22 to provide test signals to nodes of the board under test and analyzing the resulting conditions at the nodes. With respect to claimed input and output pattern memory, Wrinn describes, "using a tester to provide test signals to nodes (using input pattern memory) of the board under test and analyzing (using output pattern memory) the resulting conditions at the nodes", (col. 1, lines 13-15).

Regarding Claim 5, Wrinn discloses wherein the test pins 44 of each pin group of the semiconductor device 40 corresponding to input pins, wherein the test controller 22 comprises memory including an input pattern memory for storing input signal patterns and an output pattern memory for storing predicted output signal patterns (expected test outputs) and wherein the input pattern memory stores input signal patterns from an external device (external tester) coupled to the test controller 22 to provide test signals to nodes of the board under test and analyzing the resulting conditions at the nodes. With respect to claimed input and output pattern memory, Wrinn describes, "using a tester to provide test signals to nodes (using input pattern memory) of the board under test and analyzing (using output pattern memory) the resulting conditions at the nodes", (col. 1, lines 13-15).

Regarding Claim 6, Wrinn discloses wherein the test pins 44 of each pin group of the semiconductor device 40 corresponding to input and/or output pins, wherein the test controller 22 comprises memory including an input pattern memory for storing input signal patterns and an output pattern memory for storing predicted output signal patterns (expected test outputs) and wherein the input pattern memory stores input signal patterns from an external device (external tester) coupled to the test controller 22 to provide test signals to nodes of the board under test and analyzing the resulting conditions at the nodes. With respect to claimed input and output pattern memory, Wrinn describes, "using a tester to provide test signals to nodes (using input pattern memory) of the board under test and analyzing (using output pattern memory) the resulting conditions at the nodes", (col. 1, lines 13-15).

Regarding Claim 7, Wrinn discloses wherein the test pins 44 of each pin group of the semiconductor device 40 corresponding to output pins, wherein the test controller 22 comprises memory including an input pattern memory for storing input signal patterns to provide test signal inputs to device 40 and an output pattern memory for storing output signal patterns (expected test outputs), and where the (expected test outputs) are stored in an (external tester) coupled to the test controller 22 to provide test signals to nodes of the board under test and analyzing the resulting conditions at the nodes. With respect to claimed input and output pattern memory, Wrinn describes, "using a tester to provide test signals to nodes (using input pattern memory) of the board under test and analyzing (using output pattern memory) the resulting conditions at the nodes", (col. 1, lines 13-15).

Regarding independent Claim 8, Wrinn discloses a method of testing a semiconductor device using the semiconductor device test system (Figures 1, 2, 3 and 5), comprising:

Selecting pins (test pins 44) from among a plurality of pins of the semiconductor device 40 on PCB under test 13.

Dividing the selected pins (test pins 44) into a plurality of pin groups corresponding to each channel (A-L), disclosed as follows: Each channel (A-L) is connectable to eleven test pin nodes (Figures 3, 5 and col. 2, lines 55-60). For example, channel A is connected to pins 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 16, 22, 29, 37, 46 and 56 of group 32 through relays 36. Similarly, other connection combination of eleven pins

applies to the rest of the channels (B-L). The test pins 44 of the semiconductor device 40 are divided into pin groups corresponding to channels (A-L), each pin group (channel) having $K=11$ number of pins (eleven test pin nodes per channel in group 32, Figure 5), where $K=11$ is an integer greater than 1.

Generating a control signal from relay controller 20.

Electrically connecting a comparator and driver unit (16) to switch 29 connected to channel line 18 and in turn to a single pin 44 of the semiconductor device, which is connectable from a pin node 12 through a relay 36. "In group 32 or group 34, in typical operation, however, at any given time, each channel node would be connected to no more than one pin node, and each pin node would be connected to no more than one channel node".

Applying input signal patterns from an input pattern memory from the test controller 22 over bus 24 to the single pin. The test controller 22 also provides test control signals over bus 24 to operate channel drivers 26 to provide test signal inputs and detectors 28 to detect the test outputs while switch 29 is closed and switch 30 is open. The test outputs are provided to test controller 22, and compared with expected test outputs, (see, Figures 1, 2, 3 and 5, and operation Col. 4, lines 14-35).

Regarding Claim 9, Wrinn discloses wherein the selected test pins 44 of each pin group of the semiconductor device 40 corresponding to input pins, wherein the test controller 22 comprises memory including an input pattern memory for storing input signal patterns and an output pattern memory for storing predicted output signal patterns (expected test outputs) and wherein the input pattern memory stores input

Art Unit: 2133

signal patterns from an external device (external tester) coupled to the test controller 22 to provide test signals to nodes of the board under test and analyzing the resulting conditions at the nodes. With respect to claimed input and output pattern memory, Wrinn describes, "using a tester to provide test signals to nodes (using input pattern memory) of the board under test and analyzing (using output pattern memory) the resulting conditions at the nodes", (col. 1, lines 13-15).

Regarding Claim 10, Wrinn discloses wherein the selected test pins 44 of each pin group of the semiconductor device 40 corresponding to output pins, wherein the test controller 22 comprises memory including an input pattern memory for storing input signal patterns to provide test signal inputs to device 40 and an output pattern memory for storing output signal patterns (expected test outputs) and wherein the output pattern memory stores output signal patterns from an external device (external tester) coupled to the test controller 22 to provide test signals to nodes of the board under test and analyzing the resulting conditions at the nodes. With respect to claimed input and output pattern memory, Wrinn describes, "using a tester to provide test signals to nodes (using input pattern memory) of the board under test and analyzing (using output pattern memory) the resulting conditions at the nodes", (col. 1, lines 13-15).

Regarding Claim 11, Wrinn discloses wherein all the test pins 44 of each pin group of the semiconductor device 40 corresponding to input and/or output pins, wherein the test controller 22 comprises memory including an input pattern memory for storing input signal patterns and an output pattern memory for storing predicted output

signal patterns (expected test outputs) and wherein the input pattern memory stores input signal patterns from an external device (external tester) coupled to the test controller 22 to provide test signals to nodes of the board under test and analyzing the resulting conditions at the nodes. With respect to claimed input and output pattern memory, Wrinn describes, "using a tester to provide test signals to nodes (using input pattern memory) of the board under test and analyzing (using output pattern memory) the resulting conditions at the nodes", (col. 1, lines 13-15).

Regarding independent Claim 16, Wrinn discloses a method of testing a semiconductor device using the semiconductor device test system (Figures 1, 2, 3 and 5), comprising:

Selectively (through relay 36) connecting a pin (12) from comparator and driver unit (16) to switch 29 connected to channel line 18 of the test system to a pin 44 of the semiconductor device 40 based on a control signal from the relay controller 20. "As is seen in Figure 4, each relay 36 makes a channel node 14 connectable to a pin node 12 by a normally open switch 38 controlled by TTL signals from controller 20 (via means not shown).

Regarding Claim 17, Wrinn discloses wherein the pin of the test system comprises a comparator and driver unit 16, comprising a comparator (detectors 28) configured to compare an output pattern from an output pin 44 of the semiconductor device 40 with a predetermined output pattern and a driver 26 configured to drive an input pattern for an input pin 44 of the semiconductor device 40, and wherein the

comparator and driver unit is selectively connected (through a relay 36) to the pin 44 of the semiconductor device 40 based on the control signal from controller 20. Test controller 22 also provides test control signals over bus 24 to operate channel drivers 26 to provide test signal inputs and detectors 28 to detect the test outputs while switch 29 is closed and switch 30 is open. The test outputs are provided to test controller 22, and compared with expected test outputs, (see, Figures 1, 2, 3 and 5, and operation Col. 4, lines 14-35).

Regarding Claim 18, Wrinn discloses wherein the pins 44 of the semiconductor device 40 are divided into pin groups corresponding to channels (A-L), each pin group (channel) having $K=11$ number of pins (eleven test pin nodes per channel in group 32, Figure 5), where $K=11$ is an integer greater than 1.

Regarding Claim 19, Wrinn discloses wherein the pin (12) of the test system is selectively connected (through relay 36) from comparator and driver unit (16) to switch 29 connected to channel line 18 to a pin 44 of the semiconductor device 40 based on a control signal from the relay controller 20. "As is seen in Figure 4, each relay 36 makes a channel node 14 connectable to a pin node 12 by a normally open switch 38 controlled by TTL signals from controller 20 (via means not shown).

Regarding Claim 20, Wrinn discloses wherein the pin of the test system comprises a comparator and driver unit 16 and a control unit (each comprising a group of eleven relays 36 corresponding to each channel) of the relay multiplexer 10 in group 32, wherein the control unit is configured to electrically connect a corresponding

comparator and driver unit (16) to test pins 44 through pin nodes 12 of the semiconductor device 40 in response to a control signal from relay controller 20.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment filed on 6/6/2005, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okayasu (U.S. Patent No. 6,157,200) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of claims 1-11 and 16-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wrinn (U.S. Patent NO. 4,746,855), as set forth in the present Office Action. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES C. KERVEROS whose telephone number is (571) 272-3824. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM TO 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street,
Alexandria, VA 22314
Tel: (571) 272-3824, Fax: (571) 273-3824
james.kerveros@uspto.gov

Date: July 25, 2005
Office Action: Non-Final Rejection

JAMES C KERVEROS
Examiner
Art Unit 2133

By: 